

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

PS – PR&RD Department – Allegation of irregularities in various works in Kamalapuram (M), YSR Kadapa District–Disciplinary Proceedings and charges framed against Sri S.Subramanyam, the then Assistant Engineer, MP, Kamalapuram(M), YSR Kadapa District- Charge proved in the inquiry report of Member, C.O.I & IA dt:31.01.2023–Inquiry report communicated–Representation of Charged Officer received– Imposition of penalty of stoppage of two(2) Annual grade increments with cumulative effect under Rule 9 of A.P. Civil Services(CC&A) Rules, 1991 besides recovery for an amount of Rs.20,05,361/-(Rupees Twenty Lakhs Five Thousand Three Hundred and Sixty One only)– Orders– Issued.

PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEVELOPMENT (VIG-II) DEPARTMENT

G.O.RT.No. 81

Dated: 12-02-2025
Read the following:

- 1.Vigilance Report No.27 (C.No.508/V&E/ E1/2013), dated: 31.10.2014 of DG, V&E,.
- 2.G.O.Rt.No.507, PR&RD (Vig. II) Dept., dt:25.05.2015.
- 3.G.O.Rt.No.561, PR&RD (Vig. II) Dept., dt:28.08.2019.
- 4.G.O.Rt.No.580, PR&RD (Vig. II) Dept., dt:13.10.2020.
- 5.G.O.Rt.No.444, PR&RD (Vig. II) Dept., dt:09.08.2021.
6. DO Lr No.59/COI-KVR/2021, Dated:31.01.2023 of Member, COI, G.A. Dept.,
- 7.Govt.Memo No.20190/Vig.II/2014-2, Dated:15.04.2023.
- 8.Sri S.Subramanyam, the then Assistant Engineer, MP, Kamalapuram(M), YSR Kadapa District, Representation, Dated: 22.05.2023.
- 9.Lr No.Vig(4)/752/2015, Dated:29.11.2024 of ENC, PR, Vijayawada.

&&&&

ORDER:

In the reference 1st read above, the Director General, General Administration (Vigilance & Enforcement) Department has furnished Vigilance Report, dt.31.10.2014 relating to verification of works and on the allegations of irregularities in the works executed under various grants viz., Rajiv Palle Bata, ACDP, MPLADS, MGNREGS, ZP General funds, APSFC., ZP Seigniorage Grant, MP Rs.8/- per capita, MP Woman and Child Welfare, MP ST Welfare, RRM and TFC in Kamalapuram Mandal, Kadapa District, wherein, the DG, V&E has reported various irregularities in execution of works and recommended to initiate disciplinary action against Sri S.Subramanyam, Assistant Engineer, former MEO, MP, Kamalapuram, Kadapa District for committing certain irregularities in execution of works besides recovery of amount proportionately from the officers concerned/executive agencies/self help groups among other recommendations.

2. Accordingly, in the reference 2nd read above, Government initiated disciplinary proceedings duly framing following article of charge under Rule 20 of A.P.C.S (CC&A) Rules, 1991 against Sri S.Subramanyam, the then Assistant Engineer, MP, Kamalapuram(M), YSR Kadapa District, with a direction to submit his written statement of defence:

Charge:

That Sri S.Subramanyam, the then Assistant Engineer, MP, Kamalapuram(M), YSR Kadapa District and presently working in Agriculture Marketing Department, on deputation, has committed irregularities in execution of works sanctioned under various grants in Kamalapuram Mandal, Kadapa District and failed to discharge his legitimate duties and allowed the substandard execution with mala fide intention and caused loss to the Government exchequer in violation of the A.P. Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

3. The same was served to Sri S.Subramanyam, the then Assistant Engineer, MP, Kamalapuram(M), YSR Kadapa District and acknowledged but he has not submitted his written statement of defense within the stipulated period. Accordingly, Government have appointed Sri J.Satyanarayana, IPS(Retired), Commissioner of Inquiries, as Inquiry Officer to conduct regular enquiry into the charges framed against them and also appointed PO, under Rule 20(2) and (5)(c) of A.P.C.S. (CC&A) Rules, 1991 read with Rule 9 of A.P. Revised pension Rules, 1980, vide reference 3rd read above and subsequently, the Inquiry Officers were changed from time to time, on administrative grounds vide references 4th and 5th read above.

4. In the reference 6th read above, the member, Commissionerate of Inquiries, G.A. Dept., has submitted inquiry report, dt:31.01.2023, wherein, the Inquiring Authority has held that charges framed against the charged officer, Sri S.Subramanyam, the then Assistant Engineer, MP, Kamalapuram(M), YSR Kadapa District as proved, among others.

5. In the reference 7th read above, Government, among others, have communicated a copy of the inquiry report to the charged officer, Sri S.Subramanyam, the then Assistant Engineer, MP, Kamalapuram(M), YSR Kadapa District, as per Rule 21 (2) of A.P.C.S (CC&A) Rules, 1991, for his representation, if any, on the findings of the Inquiring Authority, among others.

6. In the reference 8th read above, the Charged Officer Sri S.Subramanyam, the then Assistant Engineer, MP, Kamalapuram(M), YSR Kadapa District has submitted his representation dt:22.05.2023 and stated that the observations of V&E Officials are not acceptable to him on the backdrop of the fact that the works are Pretty small works got executed through non-Technical and non-experienced in the field work like Habitation Committees, Self Help Groups and Sarpanches and with no adequate member of Work-Inspectors, Technical supervisors to executive the works in absence of AEE/AE concerned at the site of work during the progress of works. He has further stated that all his defences made before the Inquiring Authority was unheard in its true spirit, intent and favorably and therefore, the analysis made by the Inquiring Authority with his findings thereon, are not acceptable and the same are contestable. Hence, he has requested the Government to exonerate him from the charge framed.

7. Government have examined the matter and observe that as per Vigilance Report 1st read above, CO-4 i.e., Sri S.Subramanyam, the then

Assistant Engineer, MP, Kamalapuram(M), YSR Kadapa District has recorded measurements and drawn amount without execution of works and failed to propose recovery towards Statutory recoveries for certain works. Further, the defense of CO is not satisfactory and he has not answered technically. As per job chart, CO is responsible to prepare estimates scheduling, ensure quality, supervise and complete the works sanctioned in his jurisdiction in all sectors and schemes. In view of the above reasons, the observation of V&E officials in report 1st read above, are sustained and the charge is held as proved against the CO. As such, the contentions of Sri S.Subramanyam, the then Assistant Engineer, MP, Kamalapuram(M), YSR Kadapa District to drop further action is devoid of merits.

8. In the reference 1st read above, the DG, V&E, has also recommended to recover/reject the amounts in respect of 84 works pertain to Irrigation and PR&RD Departments respectively to a tune of Rs.72,30,330/- and also to recover the same from self help groups, if not recovered, it should be recovered from the concerned departmental officials proportionately. Accordingly, in the reference 9th read above, the ENC, PR has informed that an amount of Rs.40,695/- was only recovered from the Executive Agencies till now for only three(3) work Nos.14,59 and 60 respectively. The remaining amount couldn't be able to recover from them, even after elapse of 12 years. Further, he has also furnished the justification report for apportion of recovery amounts from the each CO, as per their job chart and responsibility, such as (i)ratio of apportion, (ii) amount for each work and the CO responsible, in a prescribed proforma for 83 works pertaining to PR&RD, RWS&S Departments.

9. After careful examination, the Government have agreed with the above justification proposal of the ENC, PR, for apportion of recovery amounts from the concerned Charged officers for the works they are responsible. Accordingly, the CO-4 i.e., Sri S.Subramanyam, the then Assistant Engineer, MP, Kamalapuram(M), YSR Kadapa District is responsible for (28) works, wherein, he has recorded excess measurements, recommended excess bill amount for works executed through self-help groups and Sarpanches of concerned Gram Panchayat for the works under various grants and; executed rejected quantity of works. The following table showing (i) Sl No. of work, (ii)type of apportionment of ratio among Charged officers, (iii)amount already recovered from the Executive Agency, (iv)amount to be recovered in respect of above **CO-4** is as follows:-

Sl. Number of works	Ration of apportion among Cos based on their responsibility	Amount recovered from the Executive Agencies concerned (in Rs.)	Amount to be Recovered from CO-4 (in Rs.): -
44,72	CO-4:CO-7::4:1 (AE:MPDO)	0/-	2,11,577/-
2,6,7,8 ,9,10,11, 22,26, 30,31,32,33,34, 35,36,37,38, 43, 46,47,48,58,70,71	CO-4:CO-6:CO-7/8:: 5:3:2 (AE:DEE:MPDO/EE)	0/-	17,43,784/-

42	CO-3: ~~CO-4~~ :CO-6:CO-7:: 2.5: ~~2.5~~ :3:2 (AE: ~~AE~~ :DEE:MPDO)	0/-	50,000/-
Total No. of works: (28)	Total Amount	0/-	Rs.20,05,361-

10. In annexure-I, a statement showing viz, (i) Sl.No. of the work, (ii) nomenclature of work; (iii) Grant; (iv) Name of Contractor /Agency; (v) Executing Agency (vi) V&E observations; (vii) Recoveries; (viii) Observations of V&E in Work; (ix) Rejected values (x) Recovery already effected; (xi) Remaining amount to be effected; (xii) Reasons for rejection; (xiii) COs figured against work in inquiry report; (xiv) to (xxiii) name of CO and apportioned amount work wise indicated against such CO, is herewith enclosed. In annexure-II, the total (28) works for which the charged officer, Sri S.Subramanyam, the then Assistant Engineer, MP, Kamalapuram(M), YSR Kadapa District is responsible also mentioned. Hence, an amount of Rs.20,05,361/- is apportioned to him, for recovery.

11. After careful examination the matter, Government, hereby impose a penalty of stoppage of two (2) Annual grade increments with cumulative effect under Rule 9 of A.P. Civil Services (Classification, Control & Appeal) Rules, 1991 besides recovery for an amount of Rs.20,05,361/-(Rupees Twenty Lakhs Five Thousand Three Hundred and Sixty One only) against Sri S.Subramanyam, the then Assistant Engineer, MP, Kamalapuram(M), YSR Kadapa District.

12. The Engineer-in-Chief, Panchayat Raj, A.P., Vijayawada, shall take necessary action accordingly and to recover the amount as mentioned at para No.11 above, in a lump sum from the charged officer and send ATR to the Government.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

SHASHI BHUSHAN KUMAR
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
The Engineer-in-Chief, Panchayat Raj A.P., Vijayawada (w.e)
Sri S.Subramanyam, the then Assistant Engineer, MP, Kamalapuram(M),
YSR Kadapa District. **Through** The Engineer-in-Chief, PR, A.P.,
Vijayawada with a request to serve the orders on the individual and
furnish served copy to the Government.

Copy to:
The Secretary to VC, A.P. Vigilance Commission, Velagapudi.
The Secretary, A.P. Public Service Commission, AP, Vijayawada.
The Director General, Vigilance & Enforcement Department, A.P.,
Vijayawada.
The PR&RD(Estt.I) Department.
The OSD to the Hon’ble Dy.CM for PR,RD,RWS&S,EFS&T Departments.
PS to Prl.Secy., to Govt., PR&RD Department.
SF/SC

//FORWARDED::BY::ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

K Vijaya Kumar, Dated:29.07.2015

G Surendra nath 29-08-2018.

K Govinda RAO, DtAEEd:26-11-2018

B Harinath Babu DT.26-12-2017

G Rammohan Reddy Dt.--/10/2018.

D.DEVADS NOT SUBMITTED

s.Subramanyam

VV Subbareddy

VV Subbareddy-19.05.2023

G.Rammohan Reddy-19.05.2023.

G Surendranath-24-05-2023

KS Jeelan-19.08.2023

D DEVDAS-NIL,

KV-29-05-2023 KVK-22-05-2023

BH-24-05-2023